



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,558	05/01/2001	Jayakumar Krishnankutty	CISCO-3678 5971	
7590 09/07/2004			EXAMINER	
Timothy A. Br	risson		LEFKOWIT	Z, SUMATI
Sierra Patent Gr				
P.O. Box 6149			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Stateline, NV	Stateline, NV 89449			
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/847,558	KRISHNANKUTTY, JAYAKUMAR
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sumati Lefkowitz	2112
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable in a timely filed amendment whit all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_	
8. \square The drawing correction filed on <u>05 August 2004</u> is		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	.
10. Other:	A	umatihefronite
	•	Companial affermite

Sumati Lefkowitz Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2112

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/847,558

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitation of the memory space including a revision register containing one or more memory locations, each of the memory locations storing revision information corresponding to one particular slave programmable device raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.